

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/764,618		01/26/2004	Kenji Inoue	KIN96USA	8923		
270	7590	09/29/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER .		
HOWSON			HUG, E	HUG, ERIC J			
BOX 457	NG HOUS	SE CORPORATION	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
321 NORRI			1731				
SPRING HO	PRING HOUSE, PA 19477				5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-,-
	10/764,618	INOUE, KENJI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eric Hug	1731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiled by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this commu	unication.
Status	1		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15.	<i>luly 2005</i> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			,
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1,9 and 10 is/are pending in the app	lication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,9 and 10</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	·	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 26 January 2004 is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected	I to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			, ,
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	: Action or form PTO-1	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12)⊠ Acknowledgme'nt is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	• •		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		ed in this National Star	ge
application from the International Burea	, , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	3U.	
Attachment(s)	_	•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
 Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	_	Patent Application (PTO-152	?)
DAMAGE AND A COMPANY			

m

Application/Control Number: 10/764,618

Art Unit: 1731

Response to Amendment

The following is in response to the amendment filed on July 15, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue (US 6,319,365) in view of Dutt (US 4,799,998).

Inoue discloses a web transfer belt comprising a base body (2a), a machine side layer (2), a wet paper web side layer (2b) made of high molecular weight elastic material, and fibers 3' mixed with the elastic material, some of such fibers protruding from the surface of the belt. The exposed fibers 3' correspond to between 10-90% of the web side layer (column 9, line 41). The fibers are not disclosed as being porous.

Dutt teaches the use of porous synthetic resin fibers in papermaking belts for the purpose of permitting and facilitating improved penetration of the fibers by chemicals applied in chemical treatments of the belt that improve performance. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to utilize such fibers in the belt of Inoue for the same purposes. Regarding the porosity of instant claim 10, the porosity would be an obvious optimizable variable, whereby the value depends on the degree of chemical treatment desired.

Art Unit: 1731

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 15, 2005 have been fully considered.

Applicants arguments and amendments to the claims have overcome all rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) or 102(e) set forth previously. It is recognized that in Gstrein (US 6,383,339) the surface fibers are needled to the polymer layer. It is recognized that in Hagfors et al (US 6,605,188) a surface fiber layer is impregnated by a polymer. It is recognized that Eklund et al (US 5,298,124) does not disclose protruding fibers.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Murka, Jr. et al (US 4,565,735)

Kiuchi et al (US 4,701,368)

Best (US 6,616,814)

Inoue (US 2004/0065528)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Hug whose telephone number is 571 272-1192. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 10:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jeh